SUPPLEMENT TO

The Bombay Gazette.

BOMBAY—MONDAY, AUGUST 16, 1880.

BOMBAY.

TO-DAY.

Temple Bar, Concert 9 p. m.
Band, Bombay Volunteer Rifles, Esplanade Bandstand, this evening (weather permitting).

VESSELS ARRIVED.—The following vessels arrived on the 13th inst.—Puttialla (s), from Bussorah; Bedfordshire (s), Chittagong. Yesterday—Sidonian (s), from Liverpool; Malda (s), Calcutta.

VESSELS SAILED.—The following vessels sailed on the 14th inst.—Saliste, to Moulmein; Pachamba (s), Kurrachee; Lord Collingwood (s), Coast and Madras; Sumatra (s), Venice.

MUSIC AT THE ESPLANADE BANDSTAND.—The following programme of music will be played by the band of the Bombay Volunteer Rifles at the Esplanade Bandstand this evening (weather permitting):—

March	Lucia	J. Schmuck.
Overture	Crown Diamonds	Auber.
Reminiscences of Donizetti		Arzd. Godfrey.
Waltz	Marie Wilton	Van Maanen.
Polka	Kutschka	Stasny.
Galop	Durch die Luffte	Lieser.

GOD SAVE THE QUEEN.

CONCERT AT THE TEMPLE BAR.—Programme of music to be played at the Temple Bar this evening at 9:—

March	Petersdorf	Strauss.
Overture	Dichter u Bauer	Suppé.
O' bist euch liebe Voeglein		Gumbert.
Waltz	Boccaccio	Strauss.
Potpourie	English	Leitbold.
Quadrille	Angot	Strauss.
Song	Butterecup de Pinafore	Sullivan.
Waltz	Harmonische Weilen	Zichrer.
Cavatina	Anna Bolena	Donnisetti.
Galop	Vortseesser	Sommer.

PRINCE'S DOCK.—The s. s. City of Baltimore left the dock on Saturday.

A PICK-POCKET.—Ismail Rahmon *alias* Ismael Emaum Khan was on Saturday last convicted by Mr. C. P. Cooper of stealing a purse containing Rs. 5 from the pocket of a Mrs. Hawkins while making purchases at a grocers shop, near the Crawford market. He was sentenced to six months' rigorous imprisonment.

CRIMINAL BREACH OF TRUST.—Jairam Ramjee, a *karkoon* attached to the Mazagon Police Office, was on Saturday last convicted by Mr. Dosabhoy Framjee, upon his own confession, of criminal breach of trust in respect of five tolas of gold, valued at Rs. 74-12, the property of Government. He was sentenced to twelve months' rigorous imprisonment.

CRUELTY TO ANIMALS.—The following is a summary of cases prosecuted by the agents of the S.P.C.A., during the week ending the 14th instant:—Horses, 6 lame, 2 broken knees, bullocks, 2 lame, 19 sore backs and 1 sore tail. Total number of cases 40; amount of fines Rs. 91. Included in the above is one Jadowjee Narayen (livery stable-keeper), who was fined Rs. 10 for working a lame horse.

FATAL ACCIDENT.—A Coroner's inquest was held on Saturday last at Nowrojee Hill on view of the body of Malloo Goosha, who died from the effects of injuries he sustained on the 11th instant at the *nagarwadee* fair while in a merry-go-round. The following verdict was recorded:—"That the death of the deceased was caused by injuries received by his throat having accidentally become tightened round his neck in consequence of the ends of it having been caught in a revolving pole of a merry-go-round."

ILLEGAL POSSESSION OF OPIUM.—Chandia Mahomed, a labourer, was on Friday last arrested by police Constable Elphinstone Circle, Grant Road, in Gardens with nine tolas of opium in his possession. The prisoner stated that he found the opium lying behind a staircase near the opium godowns. Upon further enquiries it was ascertained that he had been assisting in weighing opium at the Custom House, at none of the opium merchants could identify the opium found on him as their property. The prisoner was on Saturday last charged before Mr. C. P. Cooper with having the opium in his possession, and being possibly suspected of having stolen or fraudulently seized it, and failing to give a satisfactory account of the matter, he was fined Rs. 50, or in default one month's rigorous imprisonment.

ADDITIONAL PASSENGERS BY THE HOMEWARD MAIL.

THE P. and O. Co.'s s.s. Sumatra, Captain R. F. Briscoe, sailed on Saturday last for Southampton with Europe Mails and the following additional passengers:—

For Southampton—Major J. N Steel, Dr and Mrs Boustead, Mr J Sutcliffe, Mr A Power, and Mr R Howarth.
For Brindisi—Mr R Hooper and Mr J H Boyce.

HIGH COURT.—AUGUST 14.

(Before the Hon'ble SIR CHARLES SARGENT in Chambers.)

ACTION FOR BREACH OF PROMISE OF MARRIAGE.

CADURBHOY CURRIMBHOY VS. DAWOODBHOY ESMAL JEE AND ANOTHER.

THE plaintiff appeared on Saturday, before the Hon'ble Sir Charles Sargent in Chambers, and presented his plaint, which states that defendant had promised plaintiff his daughter in marriage, and they had been formally betrothed according to the usage of the Borah community to which they belong. In consequence of this betrothal, it was agreed that certain ornaments, which belonged to plaintiff's first wife, who is deceased, should remain in Hasanaboo's possession till she became plaintiff's wife, and they are still detained by her. The defendants had thrown obstacles in the way of the marriage. Damages are laid at Rs. 2,000. His Lordship accepted the plaint.

APPELLATE SIDE.

(Before Mr. Justice KEMBALL and Mr. Justice F. D. MELVILL.)

REVERSAL OF CONVICTION IN A FORGERY CASE.

THE EMPRESS OF INDIA VS. SHANKAR MANJAPPA.

AT a Court of Criminal Sessions held before Mr. A. L. Spens, Session Judge, Kanara, the accused, an officiating forester of the Siddapur Dn. Pa, was charged with another with having, in September 1879, committed forgery by fraudulently cancelling an "0" in an entry in the Taluka forest day-book and altering the same into a "2" without lawful authority, and having thereby committed an offence punishable under section 465 of the Indian Penal Code. The enquiry arose out of an anonymous petition, and it appeared that the accused had sold more than two candies of blackwood and made an entry in the books of less than a candy. The mamlatdar of the place made a personal enquiry, and found thirty planks of black wood in one Ramaya's house, and ascertained that Ramaya had bought the tree, out of which the planks were made, at an auction sale held by the accused. He was convicted by the Sessions Judge and sentenced to suffer eighteen months' rigorous imprisonment and to pay a fine of Rs. 200, or in default to undergo six months' additional imprisonment. The other accused was discharged. An appeal by the accused from the above sentence was argued before their Lordships by Mr. Shantaram Narayen for the accused a few days ago. Mr. Nanabhai Harridas, Government Pleader, appeared for the Crown.

Mr. Justice Kemball, in delivering the judgment of the court, said—The appellant has been found guilty by both judge and assessors of forgery in having fraudulently cancelled a cipher in an entry in the taluka forest day-book and altered the same into a "2" without lawful authority. About an alteration having been made, there is no doubt: but there is no direct evidence that it was made as alleged by the appellant; and his guilt has been assumed mainly on his examination before the committing magistrate and in the Sessions Court, and upon the probabilities of the case. Both judge and assessors have laid great stress on these examinations: in fact, the judge held that they formed very strong evidence, of the appellant's guilt and inserted a copy of each examination as part of his judgment. On this part of the case, we may observe that, in our view of the circumstances, we are unable to concur in the conclusion drawn from these examinations, and we think it necessary to add that we cannot regard with approval the manner in which the examination in the Sessions Court was conducted. The whole case seems to us to turn on the question whether any fraud was perpetrated in the matter of the auction sale, for in the absence of satisfactory evidence of such fraud, not only does the ground, on which the judge based his assumption that appellant made the alteration, disappear; but, as was admitted by Mr. Nanabhai in argument, if the sale was free from fraud, the alterations of the books imputed to the appellant would no amount to forgery within the meaning of sections 463 and 464 of the Indian Penal Code. On the point, then, of fraud, the case has been very fully argued before us, and we have been unable to discover any evidence to support the conclusion arrived at by the judge. The sale was made by public auction, and there is absolutely nothing to show, nor indeed is it even suggested, that appellant had any interest in either defrauding the Government or benefiting Ramaya, the purchaser. We observe that the committing magistrate (Mr. J. H. Todd) was of opinion that the sale was not fraudulent though his view was that appellant had entered a less quantity of wood in his report, to save himself the trouble of having to explain why it fetched so little. The evidence as to the circumstances of the sale is not very clear, but it is not, we think, proved that the appellant knowingly made a false report on the point; and we incline to the view taken by his official superior, Mr. Stobie, that his fault was that of negligence in not having the wood re-measured prior to delivery, when he could have formed a correct estimate of the actual quantity sold. If may be that Ramaya had in his possession more wood than was entered in the report and other documents, though we do not with the judge find it to be matter beyond dispute that it was more than two candies. However that may be, it is evident, that a large portion of the tree, which it is said was included in the sale, was quite useless; and we see no reason to doubt the correctness of the committing magistrate's opinion that a fair value for the wood was paid in the position it was in the jungle. No doubt the appellant has acted very foolishly after the acting mamlutdar commenced to make his enquiries, and a certain amount of suspicion consequently attached to him, though there is much in the argument that, if he had had an interest in making the alteration, he would hardly have gone about it in so very clumsy a way. On the whole, we are of opinion that there is no sufficient ground for assuming that the appellant made the alteration in the forest book, and that if he did so his act was forgery. For these reasons we reverse the conviction and sentence, and direct the appellant's discharge. The fine, if levied, to be repaid.

DISTRICT COURT, TANNA.

A QUESTION UNDER THE NEW ABKARI ACT.

IN the Tanna District, before Mr. Herbert Birdwood, Judge, and Mr. Grattan Geary and Mr. Cooverjee Cowasjee, Assessors, a case is pending, in which Mr. Ahmedbhai Habibbhai claims compensation for loss under the Abkari Act of 1878, and a right to draw toddy from some 64,000 trees on his estate in Salsette, free of all taxes and fees whatsoever. The Collector of Tanna tendered one rupee compensation, and the case consequently came before the District Court.

Mr. Branson represented Mr. Ahmedbhai Habibbhai, and Mr. Newcome Fox represented the Government.

The case has been five days before the court, and has not yet been concluded. It is impossible, of course, to give a full report of the proceedings; but the question at issue is of some interest, and the proceedings may therefore be briefly summarised.

The villages of Mullar, Mansa, Arreem, Caners, and Tulsi were in 1868-69 purchased by Mr. Ahmedbhai from the representatives of Messrs. Cursetjee Ardesheer and Jehangeer Ardesheer, who in 1819 took over those villages and some additional ones from the East India Company, as the equivalent for land which the Company required in Bombay for public purposes. A clause in the deed of conveyance made over to the parties in question the right of all produce of toddy and other trees in perpetuity without payment of any tax, fee, cess, &c., whatever. Upon the passing of the new Abkari Act of 1878, the Government of India caused the Local Government to insert a proviso in section 65, to the effect that the villages in question and other lands held under the deed of 1819 should be compensated for any loss they might sustain by reason of the provision in the Bill, that all the trees producing fermentable juice should in future be subject to taxation.

At the opening of the proceedings, Mr. Fox said that the burden lay upon the claimant to make good his case of compensation, as the Government disputed his right to any.

The Judge said that the Court could not go into the question of right. The only question was the amount of compensation. Was the claimant hitherto possessed of a certain number of toddy trees free of taxation? How much did he lose for the confiscation of the right? The whole reference proceeded on the assumption that the claimant had rights and therefore the question to be settled was simply the amount to which he was entitled.

Mr. Fox demurred strongly to this view, and said that he could quote the speeches of members of Council at the time the Bill was before that body, to show that he was justified in disputing the claimant's right of compensation. He referred to a case in which Lord Westbury, when sitting as Judge, had referred to a speech of his own made in the House of Commons, when an Act was passed on which the case turned.

Mr. Branson said that it was absurd for the Government to say at first that one rupee was sufficient compensation, and then to say that there was no right to any compensation at all. The question was simply one of degree of amount.

The Judge said that the title was disputed, and therefore the reference should be withdrawn, and the issue tried in the High Court.

Mr. Fox asked for an adjournment to consult the Collector upon this point. The Government was decided to go on with the case, and it did not mean to waive its right.

The Judge:—You question the claimant's right. If so the Court has no jurisdiction.

Mr. Fox:—We do not dispute that he is in possession of abilities.

Mr. Branson then proceeded to set forth the particulars of the claim. He put in talukar statements showing that on an average a sum of Rs. 798 have been received for trees actually tapped, namely 11,000. This sum came to Rs. 19,000 at 4 per cent. interest on twenty years' purchase. But there were 64 400 trees in all, and reducing the duty of drawing toddy free of tax from them at one anna per tree per annum, the result would be Rs. 4,000 a year, which, when capitalized on 20 years' purchase, at 4 per cent., would come to Rs. 119,962. Was one rupee sufficient compensation for the loss of all this? The Government had placed the tax at ten rupees a year on each of the trees tapped, and that sum capitalized might be fairly taken as the amount of the claimant's loss.

Mr. Fox said that ten rupees was not a tax on the trees actually levied by an Abkari or excise regulation, which the *bhandaris*, or toddy-drawers, had to pay as fee.

Mr. Branson:—But until the Act of 1878 confiscated his right, the claimant could draw juice free of any tax or cess whatever.

Mr. Fox said that there was always a licence fee payable by the *bhandaris* or toddy-drawers. He contended that the trees were liable to excise or Abkari regulations from the first.

Mr. Branson said that before the tax of rupees ten, as now levied or leviable under the Act of 1878, was imposed upon the claimant he had to pay no duty whatever.

Mr. Fox:—The tapping cess has been paid by all *bhandaris*, even those tapping these trees, ever since 1863.

Mr. Branson:—Under what Act?

Mr. Fox:—The tapping cess is not put on under an Act.

Mr. Branson:—We do not care what Government chooses to exact from the *bhandaris*; but we never paid a pie.

The Judge said he admitted that claimant could not now draw the toddy himself. But he never did draw any himself. He always let the right of drawing to *bhandaris* who paid the tapping cess to Government. As he never made anything by his right, he was not entitled to anything as compensation for the loss of that right. The rights were doubtless interfered with to a certain extent by the Act of 1878, but they had also been so interfered with under previous Abkari Acts.

The Judge:—The Act of 1878 does not allude to that fact. It assumes the rights of the holders of these lands were intact up to the day of the passing of the new Act.

Mr. Fox said that the tree tax was the only one from which the claimant was exempted, and that he had always been subjected to the Abkari Act regulations.

Mr. Branson:—We had a right to draw toddy from 66,000 trees free of all cess. Now we must pay ten rupees per tree. Does not this alter our position, and entitle us to compensation?

The Judge framed the following issues:—1st, Whether any or what tax or fees are imposable on trees producing fermentable juice or produce under Act 5 of 1878, which were free under the Hon'ble E. I. Co.'s deed of conveyance. 2nd. What is the total loss which may arise to Mr. Ahmedbhai by reason of the termination of his right of exemption.

Mr. Branson:—On the first issue the Collector can speak. He alone knows whether he will raise the cess beyond the ten rupees which he has now imposed. If so, of course the claimant's loss would be the greater.

The Judge said that the change under the Act seemed to him a most improvident one. The Government virtually imposed the tax on these trees, and then generously exempted their owner from payment of a large sum of money as taxes for ever.

Mr. Fox said that this was a most flagitious claim. Mr. Ahmedbhai never drew raw toddy to the value of a pie. He would not have to pay money now unless he drew toddy. No toddy, no tax; and up to the present time he had drawn none.

The Judge:—Well, it seems that there is no tax if he does not use his trees. That may influence the amount of damages.

Mr. Fox said that he would be satisfied if that were borne in mind.

Mr. Branson said that it was monstrous to assert that if he possessed twenty houses, and did not let them, he was not to get any compensation if Government were to take them from him. The claimant possessed the right to draw toddy from those trees and might have used it at any time. The imposition of a ten rupee tax on each three simply confiscated his property.

Mr. Fox:—The ten rupees will have to be paid by the *bhandaris*, and not by Mr. Ahmedbhai.

Mr. Branson:—But they will now have to be paid by Mr. Ahmedbhai if he taps the trees himself, though that was not the case before.

At this stage witnesses were called by Mr. Branson to show the number of trees tapped, and the income earned from them, and the total number of trees on the estate. It appeared that the practice was to let the right to tap the trees to *bhandaris*. They hitherto paid a small fee of two rupees to Government for the right to pursue their calling. They also paid a small fee of eight annas or one rupee per tree per annum by way of rent to the owner. Evidence was tendered to show that on the Bhandoop estate held under a similar deed, the proprietor employed his own servants to tap trees, and they paid nothing whatever to Government; and it was argued that it was perfectly open to Mr. Ahmedbhai to have done the same, though it appeared that since the year 1863-64, the tapping cess was levied on those who tapped the trees on the land without protest on the part of the proprietor.

Mr. Fox maintained that the fact of the payment of this cess proved that the Government was within its right in exacting it, and that consequently the owner was bound to submit to the imposition of ten rupees instead of two rupees on all who tapped the trees, now or hereafter, and that consequently there was no claim whatever to compensation.

This argument was urged with considerable pertinacity, and to strengthen it a great number of official documents were read to show, what was not disputed by the other side, that the Abkari regulations affecting fermented liquor have been in force on the estate ever since it was given over to Messrs. Cursetjee and Jehangeer. Mr. Fox also argued that Mr. Ahmedbhai Habibbhai could have let the right to draw his trees, had he not desired to pose as a victim, and show that his income had been cut off. Mr. Fox cross-examined Mr. Ahmedbhai to show that there was no possibility of ever obtaining a sufficient number of *bhandaris* to tax the whole number of 64,000 trees. But in answer to Mr. Geary, claimant stated that some 4,000 or 5,000 trees might be tapped without any difficulty, and that the fee obtainable was one rupee per tree. The rest of the trees which were reserved could be tapped, as *bhandaris* were procurable. It was, he said, entirely owing to his being engrossed by other business in Bombay that he had hitherto been unable to develope the profits obtainable by working the toddy trees, and now this Act took from him the right to do so in the future.

The whole case for the Government, as urged by Mr. Fox, was this: that the deprivation of the right to draw the juice under an Abkari regulation should not entitle the claimant to compensation for any loss, since the trees had hitherto been, as a matter of fact, subject to all the Abkari regulations. The Government, he said, could extinguish any private right, or turn black into white, and the Act of 1827 had clearly imposed Abkari duties on fermented liquor, although by the terms of the deed it would appear that the holders were exempt from all taxation.

The Judge said that it was the policy of Government to respect private rights.

Mr. Fox:—Yes, that is the desire; but I speak of the right of Government. It is the generosity of the Government that alone brings us here to discuss this right to compensation.

The Judge:—But you say that there is no right to compensation, although section 65 of the Act specially recognizes it.

Mr. Fox:—The section speaks of rights that *may* be affected. I say that they were not affected; and the expression used does not show, the Government necessarily thought, that they would be affected. Sections 54, 61, and 66 of the Act of 1827 swept away all the rights of the holder with regard to fermented liquor.

Mr. Geary:—But that Act apparently did not reserve the right of the owner of trees to compensation. Act 5 of 1878 does reserve that right, and it is in accordance with that reservation that we are now sitting here.

Mr. Fox:—The right to enjoy fermented juice of the trees was the same as that alleged to enjoy the unfermented juice. I am producing official correspondence to show that the Government has all through done what it pleased to fermented liquor, and that there was no question of compensation.

Mr. Geary:—But that is precisely what we do not require to have proved. For the other side has admitted it all along. Whether the Government had a right to so act is another question, which we are not concerned with. As a matter of fact, Act 5 of 1878, in taking from the owner his right with regard to the produce, gives him a claim to compensation.

Mr. Branson tendered a letter of the 19th May, 1863, from the Acting Collector of Tanna, declining to buy up the rights to the raw produce of the trees on the ground that the sum demanded was too high.

Mr. Fox said that it was not easy to prove a negative; but if he could show that the original deed, however district its declaration might be that no tax, cess or fee whatever should be imposed, did not confer exemption from excise. The presumption would be that the extension of the excise law to fermented unfermented juice could not confer a claim to compensation.

Mr. Geary:—Not if the Act expressly reserved the right to compensation.

Mr. Fox:—The Act speaks of loss that may arise, I say that it has not arisen, and I must go on with my argument.

Mr. Geary:—But we are not getting on with the case. We are now no further advanced than we were when the plaintiff's case closed. It had been then admitted that the claimant possessed no rights since the Act of 1827 with regard to fermented juice.

Mr. Fox, however, continued his argument for another half day upon that point, until the Judge mildly hinted that it was getting rather long.

Witnesses were then called by Mr. Fox to prove that since the year 1864, *bhandaris* who were seen going up these trees were *puckaraed*, if they had not a licence, and a list of convictions arising from breach of the Abkari regulations in regard to licences was put in. It was admitted that this was not done under the sanction of any Act whatever; and Mr. Fox himself stated that, as a lawyer, he must say that it seemed to him that the right to interfere with these *bhandaris* might have been contested at the time. However that might be urged the fact that the right being not contested showed that the owners of the trees acknowledged that they were bound by the usual Abkari regulations. Mr. Fox also raised a number of technical objections to the right of Mr. Ahmedbhai to claim compensation as the representative of the original holders under the E. I. Company's deed, because clause 5 stated that those holders are their legal representatives, which in law meant the executor, or administrator, or in some cases the next to kin. But Mr. Ahmedbhai was only purchaser.

The Judge:—But the section speaks of "such persons as may be lawfully in possession of the said villages." Does not that recognize Mr. Ahmedbhai's right?

Mr. Fox:—It recognises his right as possessor of the villages, but not as holder unto the original indenture. The grant must be construed strictly in favour of the Crown, and against the subject.

M. Geary:—Is it expressly termed a deed of conveyance of certain property as an equivalent for certain other property.

The Judge:—It is expressly termed a deed of conveyance of certain property as an equivalent for certain other property.

Mr. Fox contended that the clause giving an exemption from taxation was a grant.

The Judge replied that no doubt the Assessors would take a commonsense view of the matter. Finding Mr. Ahmedbhai in possession of certain villages, they would say and rightly to the amount of the loss (which the words of the Act seemed expressly to contemplate) which would be inflicted upon him by the deprivation of his right to draw juice from these trees without taxation.

THE CORPORATION AND THE C. D. ACT.

THE adjourned meeting of the Corporation for final discussion of the C. D. Act was held on Saturday last, Rao Saheb V. N. Mandlik presided; and there were present—Mr. James Bapty, Dr. T. Blaney, Surgeon Major H. Cook, Messrs. E. R. Carroll, W. T. Dowden, Col. P. Cox, R.E., Messrs. M. R. Da Quadros, Charles Douglas, E.R. Freeborn, Grattan Geary, D. E. Gostling, James Greaves, H. E. Jacomb, W. Maidment, Captain H. Morland, Professor P. Peterson, Dr. John Pinkerton, Sir Frank Souter, Messrs. F. Vouillon, W. M. Wood, Atmaram Pandoorung, Bhugwandas Purshotumdas, Khan Bahadoor Byramjee Dadabhoy, Mr. Byramjee Nusserwanjee Servai, Dr. Cowasjee Hormusjee, Messrs. Dinanath Raghoonath Khote, Dosabhoy Framjee, C.S.I., Fazulbhoy Cassumbhoy Gangjee, Goculdas Jugmohundass, Hirjee Ardaseer Dady, Homejee Cursetjee Dady, Hormusjee Dadabhoy, Hormusjee Nowrojee Saklatwala, Harkisondas Narrotumdass, Jamsetjee Pallonjee Kapadia, Janardhan Gopal, Khunderao Chimanrao Bhedarkar, Cursetjee Rustomjee Cama, Hon'ble Mr. Morarjee Gokuldass, Messrs. Muncherjee Nowrojee Banajee, Nanabhoy Byramjee Jeejeebhoy, Nowrojee Byramjee Suntook, Nowrojee Furdoonjee, Nusserwanjee Chandabhoy, Pherozshah Merwanjee Mehta, Raghoonath Narayan Khote, Rahimtoola Mahomed Sayani, Shantaram Narayen, Tribhowandass Varjeevandass, Venayekrao Ramchunder Luxmonjee, and Vandrawan Pursotumdas.

Mr. Pherozshah M. Mehta commenced the discussion by saying that the debate had lasted so long that he did not wish at that late hour to take up any considerable time of the meeting. Though there had been a considerable amount of impatience expressed about the infliction of long speeches by members of the Corporation, he thought it a very desirable thing that now and then, on occasions of some moment, they should state the reasons upon which they meant to give their vote one way or the other. He therefore did not think that he was unduly trespassing upon their time, if he addressed a few words as to the grounds on which he intended to give his vote on this occasion. In a consideration of the subject, it was very important, thought Mr. Mehta, to keep in mind the real scope of the two propositions before the meeting. The first, introduced by the Chairman and supported by Mr. Geary, expressed the inability of the Corporation to acquiesce in the request made by Government for the contribution of a sum of Rs. 15,000 towards the working of a new society which was the law laid down on the subject. He next turned to the moral and social arguments advanced against the Act to see whether they had been met. Dr. Cook was surprised that in a country where prostitution was a recognized social institution, the natives of the very same country should be found bold enough to say that neither law nor society should in any way recognise it. He (Mr. Mehta) was in his turn surprised to hear him say what he said upon the subject. It showed the remarkable want of scientific accuracy with which Europeans in this country observe the phenomena of native social life (Hear, hear). It was a pity—he did not cast it as a reproach, but it it was a well known fact that with all their good wishes and all their good intentions, unsympathetic Englishmen were unable to understand the real nature and character of the various phenomena which were seen around them, and the remarks of Dr. Cook afforded a clear illustration on this point. If Dr. Cook were to push his arguments to their logical conclusion, he must also regard Thuggeeism as a social, recognised institution, as being under the ægis of a so-called religion,—under the goddess Bowani. It was dangerous for Englishmen to venture an opinion on such intricate questions without diligently studying them. He would, however, safely leave this question in the hands of the Rao Saheb, who could deal with it best. But he must ask if there was anything more honorable than the fact that the people of India were emerging from a state of moral degradation, and were attaining a firm grasp of moral principle, under the influence of the West. And for his part he was proud to say the Rao Saheb had placed his objections, unflinchingly and firmly, on a high moral basis, and refused to recognise the Act on the ground that the Hindoo religion was not repugnant to the institution. He said that nothing was more honourable, nothing more creditable, for the natives of India than to view the question from this standpoint. Mr. Mehta also contended against the advocates of the Act that the absence of fear of consequences would promote vice, and the inspection would tend to harden women, and make them less amenable to reform. The argument about the reclamation of women might apply in England, where there were agencies for the purpose, but they could they not apply here. He was however free to confess that no problems were more difficult of solution than those arising from the interrelations of vice and disease. But it was not necessary to come to any decision upon the point, because other and nearer arguments had not been so much as attempted to be answered. Instead of answering them Dr. Cook, like the heroes of old, only boasted of his prowess, that his enemies might be lightened, and likened description of a Goliath, calling out one from the camp of Israel, in order to smite him down, was most applicable to Dr. Cook himself. He (Mr. Mehta) was wanting in no way in respect to Dr. Cook, but would it avail any thing for him to say that the arguments of the opponents of the Act were all hysterical and feeble arguments, whereas the bolts and bars on all good, and valid? But there was one material point which was lost sight of by Dr. Cook. It was this. If this Act be worked in the manner Government wished to work it, was it likely to do the good which was contemplated by them? A great number of authorities had been quoted, a heap of facts brought on either side, but these were delusive. These must be judged in connection with the circumstances which gave rise to them. Irrespective of arguments based upon them, Mr. Mehta said that though he had not perhaps gone into the one of the best speeches made before the Corporation, he had read it.

Mr. Dosabhoy Framjee.—We have asked for a less amount than the Government requires.

Mr. Mehta replied that it made no difference, if the hospital was to be a part and parcel of the system which the Government had laid down for the working of the Act. Whatever the sum they gave, they would be giving it, not for the working of an independent hospital, but for the working of the C. D. Act. What ever be the sum, the proposition came to this, that they were to contribute a sum of money for the purpose of working the C. D. Act. Then the question was, whether they could accede to the Government proposal. He for one could not but say that the Government could have no more but the very least motives in asking them to contribute towards the Act. He thought that Government had carefully considered the question, and they were convinced that it would be a highly useful thing for the municipality to co-operate in the matter. However, there were two things which were required to be said in connection with the regimental hospital. It was most unfortunate that in the first place, that Government should have brought the proposition before them in the way in which they have done. They first established the whole system, and then they came to the Corporation saying, we ask—which really meant "we want"—you to contribute towards the working of the Act. But he (Mr. Mehta) believed that a body so delicately constituted as the Municipality of Bombay should not be so treated. They were there assembled for self-government, and it had been said over and over again that the object in forming bodies like their was to promote self-government. They could therefore be said to work well only when they exercised their own judgment upon matters coming up for their consideration. It seemed to him then that Government had placed both themselves and the Municipality in a false position by establishing an Act in the first place, and then telling them, they hoped the Corporation would be prepared to accede to it. But they all knew that Government were determined to work the Act, whether they gave it their sanction or not. It was insinuated that if they did not accede to the demand of the Government, they would withhold a contribution, which in justice and equity belonged to them, namely, the police grant. Taking that view of the case, it was suggested to members of the Corporation, it had been suggested to him personally, that supposing this was not a proper occasion on which they could co-operate with the Government, still under the circumstances when Government would in some way or other make them pay the sum, what was the use of placing themselves in a false position? Certainly, if they were in a position in which there was no alternative but to do a thing in some way or other, then it would be wise for them to give way to the demand of Government. But there was another consideration in regard to this question. It was true that Government had the power of withholding the police grant. But the Corporation were a legally constituted body, and they could go up to higher authorities. If their representations were made moderately, properly, and in a just and fair spirit, they had every reasonable hope of getting justice done, and of recovering the contribution to which they were justly entitled. (Hear, hear). Their decision upon the subject of making a grant for the Act must be independent of any such considerations. If their convictions and judgment were against allowing a grant, they must say it straightforward in so many words. The Government proposal, though made from sincere convictions, was open to some objections. A great deal was talked about the evils of the disease, and the undermining of the social system which the prevalence of the disease was causing in Bombay. Mr. Dosabhoy Framjee, so far as he was concerned, deprecated the importation of any sentiment into the discussion of this measure; but with the exception, most of the speakers in favour of the Act, and preeminently Dr. Cook, had chosen to look at the measure from a sentimental point of view. Dr. Cook appealed to them in the name of humanity, in the name of innocent children, and innocent posterity. He had told them the fabric of the whole society would be struck down by this fell and frightful disease, and it was for the purpose of protecting society from this immense evil that they should co-operate with the Government. But if the disease was of such that character, if the medical authorities whom Dr. Cook had cited thought it to be so, how was it, asked Mr. Mehta, that Government, and especially Dr. Cook silent spectators of the evil for such a length of time? How was it that the doctor did not come forward when Government stopped the working of the Act on previous occasion? The fact was, Government, and their supporters now came forward with the proposal, not to carry out a professedly humane and disinterested object, but to make some provision for our sailors and soldiers. (Hear, hear). They have acceded to the representations of the commanders and officers of vessels, who complained that their men were catching the disease in Bombay. He (Mr. Mehta) did not say that this was not a very praiseworthy object,—that of protecting that expensive article, the soldiers and sailors. But should they consent to the first city in India as this Corporation was fond of boasting to be lowered to the rank of a mere garrison-town or naval port? The onus of proof lay upon the advocates of the Act. If they wanted them to spend their money in a certain way, let them prove that it would be spent in a good cause. Dr. Cook, in the address which he gave them with, he was obliged to say, the want of that scientific accuracy of which Dr. Cook boasted himself, and which according to time, reduced the status of a garrison town or a naval port for the interest of these classes? There were serious objections, firstly from a social and moral point of view, and secondly on grounds of utility, to the introduction of the Act in a city like this. But even if they were to confine themselves to the second ground, the burden of establishing that there were reality no such objections as had been brought forward those who opposed the Act did not exercise, said that the object of the Act was to repress vice in connection with the disease. But surely Dr. Cook must have forgotten to observe that before the introduction of the Act, the whole policy of the English law was against recognising prostitution. It did not even tolerate positively acquiesce in the evil. Perhaps Dr. Cook was not aware that according to law, no brothels could be kept. English common law applied to India just as well as it applied to England, and it allowed no resting place to brothels.

Mr. Jacomb. It has been a dead letter for a long time.

Mr. Perozshaw Mehta replied that the could not call it a dead letter. I often found respectable persons applying to the police magistrates to drive prostitutes from their neighbourhood (Hear hear). They were regarded by law and placed in the category of a nuisance. The law was in state of utter hostility—

Mr. Jacomb.—Spasmodic hostility.

Mr. Mehta said that such was the law laid down on the subject. He next turned to the moral and social arguments advanced against the Act to see whether they had been met. Dr. Cook was surprised that in a country where prostitution was a recognized social institution, the natives of the very same country should be found bold enough to say that neither law nor society should in any way recognise it. He (Mr. Mehta) was in his turn surprised to hear him say what he said upon the subject. It showed the remarkable want of scientific accuracy with which Europeans in this country observe the phenomena of native social life (Hear, hear). It was a pity—he did not cast it as a reproach, but it it was a well known fact that with all their good wishes and all their good intentions, unsympathetic Englishmen were unable to understand the real nature and character of the various phenomena which were seen around them, and the remarks of Dr. Cook afforded a clear illustration on this point. If Dr. Cook were to push his arguments to their logical conclusion, he must also regard Thuggeeism as a social, recognised institution, as being under the ægis of a so-called religion,—under the goddess Bowani. It was dangerous for Englishmen to venture an opinion on such intricate questions without diligently studying them. He would, however, safely leave this question in the hands of the Rao Saheb, who could deal with it best. But he must ask if there was anything more honorable than the fact that the people of India were emerging from a state of moral degradation, and were attaining a firm grasp of moral principle, under the influence of the West. And for his part he was proud to say the Rao Saheb had placed his objections, unflinchingly and firmly, on a high moral basis, and refused to recognise the Act on the ground that the Hindoo religion was not repugnant to the institution. He said that nothing was more honourable, nothing more creditable, for the natives of India than to view the question from this standpoint. Mr. Mehta also contended against the advocates of the Act that the absence of fear of consequences would promote vice, and the inspection would tend to harden women, and make them less amenable to reform. The argument about the reclamation of women might apply in England, where there were agencies for the purpose, but they could they not apply here. He was however free to confess that no problems were more difficult of solution than those arising from the interrelations of vice and disease. But it was not necessary to come to any decision upon the point, because other and nearer arguments had not been so much as attempted to be answered. Instead of answering them Dr. Cook, like the heroes of old, only boasted of his prowess, that his enemies might be lightened, and likened description of a Goliath, calling out one from the camp of Israel, in order to smite him down, was most applicable to Dr. Cook himself. He (Mr. Mehta) was wanting in no way in respect to Dr. Cook, but would it avail any thing for him to say that the arguments of the opponents of the Act were all hysterical and feeble arguments, whereas the bolts and bars on all good, and valid? But there was one material point which was lost sight of by Dr. Cook. It was this. If this Act be worked in the manner Government wished to work it, was it likely to do the good which was contemplated by them? A great number of authorities had been quoted, a heap of facts brought on either side, but these were delusive. These must be judged in connection with the circumstances which gave rise to them. Irrespective of arguments based upon them, Mr. Mehta said that though he had not perhaps gone into the one of the best speeches made before the Corporation, he had read it.

Mr. Dosabhoy Framjee.—We have asked for a less amount than the Government requires.

Mr. Mehta replied that it made no difference, if the hospital was to be a part and parcel of the system which the Government had laid down for the working of the Act. Whatever the sum they gave, they would be giving it, not for the working of an independent hospital, but for the working of the C. D. Act. What ever be the sum, the proposition came to this, that they were to contribute a sum of money for the purpose of working the C. D. Act. Then the question was, whether they could accede to the Government proposal. He for one could not but say that the Government could have no more but the very least motives in asking them to contribute towards the Act. He thought that Government had carefully considered the question, and they were convinced that it would be a highly useful thing for the municipality to co-operate in the matter. However, there were two things which were required to be said in connection with the regimental hospital. It was most unfortunate that in the first place, that Government should have brought the proposition before them in the way in which they have done. They first established the whole system, and then they came to the Corporation saying, we ask—which really meant "we want"—you to contribute towards the working of the Act. But he (Mr. Mehta) believed that a body so delicately constituted as the Municipality of Bombay should not be so treated. They were there assembled for self-government, and it had been said over and over again that the object in forming bodies like their was to promote self-government. They could therefore be said to work well only when they exercised their own judgment upon matters coming up for their consideration. It seemed to him then that Government had placed both themselves and the Municipality in a false position by establishing an Act in the first place, and then telling them, they hoped the Corporation would be prepared to accede to it. But they all knew that Government were determined to work the Act, whether they gave it their sanction or not. It was insinuated that if they did not accede to the demand of the Government, they would withhold a contribution, which in justice and equity belonged to them, namely, the police grant. Taking that view of the case, it was suggested to members of the Corporation, it had been suggested to him personally, that supposing this was not a proper occasion on which they could co-operate with the Government, still under the circumstances when Government would in some way or other make them pay the sum, what was the use of placing themselves in a false position? Certainly, if they were in a position in which there was no alternative but to do a thing in some way or other, then it would be wise for them to give way to the demand of Government. But there was another consideration in regard to this question. It was true that Government had the power of withholding the police grant. But the Corporation were a legally constituted body, and they could go up to higher authorities. If their representations were made moderately, properly, and in a just and fair spirit, they had every reasonable hope of getting justice done, and of recovering the contribution to which they were justly entitled. (Hear, hear). Their decision upon the subject of making a grant for the Act must be independent of any such considerations. If their convictions and judgment were against allowing a grant, they must say it straightforward in so many words. The Government proposal, though made from sincere convictions, was open to some objections. A great deal was talked about the evils of the disease, and the undermining of the social system which the prevalence of the disease was causing in Bombay. Mr. Dosabhoy Framjee, so far as he was concerned, deprecated the importation of any sentiment into the discussion of this measure; but with the exception, most of the speakers in favour of the Act, and preeminently Dr. Cook, had chosen to look at the measure

bear with me when I point out to you only for one moment the line taken by Her Majesty's Government in regard to such measures. The Home Secretary Mr. Bruce, speaking in 1872, as an official member, spoke as follows:—"There was no doubt that the proposed extension of the Acts to the civil population led to the agitation, which, from that time almost to the present, raged throughout the length and breadth of the land. An association was formed, promoted by medical men, and having many branches all over the country for the purpose of advocating the extension of these Acts to the civil districts of the country. Then the opposition arose, founded first of all on the opinion that these Acts invaded private liberty; secondly, because they appeared to give legal sanction to prostitution; thirdly, because they tended to promote immorality among men; and lastly, because they had a tendency still further to harden and degrade fallen women themselves. However, there could be no question whatever that the public mind had been very deeply moved, and the Government were anxious, on the one hand not lightly to abandon a course of legislation, which, in their opinion, was working very considerable good, and on the other hand, proper enquiry should be made into their operation. They therefore appointed a Commission in 1870, which reported towards the middle of the year 1871. It recommended, by a large majority, first of all the repeal of the clause, which authorized the periodical examination of women; secondly, it recommended the re-enactment of the Act of 1864, which had been repealed because its operations were extended by the Acts of 1866 and 1869, but such re-enactment would have returned to the principle of compulsory examination which the Committee had already decided against; it also recommended that other legislation should be passed in fact, that the whole of this legislation should be, as might be rendered, available by the civil districts throughout the country, as well as the naval and military stations. He would say a few words as to the result. The commissioners were called to report, not only on the physical results, but also on what appeared to them to be the moral effects of the Acts. On both points there had been, and still was, considerable difference of opinion. Not denying that there did exist grave objections to the Acts, some occasional incentives to immorality, and that the system of examination might have a hardening influence on women, he might say, that the conclusion he arrived at was that the effect in preventing women from entering into a life of prostitution was so great that the moral good prevailed over the moral evil. When he stated that, as his own opinion, he must admit that many persons, for whose opinion he had the greatest possible respect, entertained a different opinion." I will not trespass more on your time with quotations on this point. I think this is the line my friend, Dr. Pinkerton, pointedly followed to-day. No doubt he differs from those who like myself hold that the Act will have an immoral tendency, but we can each hold our own views, and it is for the Corporation to say what views they will carry out. Now with reference to Dr. Cook's statement as to the Hindu religion sanctioning prostitution. I say that Dr. Cook can know but a very fractional part, if anything at all, of the Hindu nation. I am surprised that he, even on the authority of an eminent judge of our local High Court, should have made the statement which he put forth on this matter at our last meeting. Every city in the world has its evils, and there are sores which no amount of—lecturing, I was going to say—attempts at reformation have hitherto blotted out. If we have infanticide in India, we know that, unhappily, from different causes there is infanticide on the other side of the globe. We have things like baby farming here and we have worse things which are also found in other parts of the world. I merely make use of these facts to illustrate a point of argument which was so forcibly placed before you by my friend Mr. Mehta, who, I am sure, gave me more than my due when he said what he did. The particular subject alluded to by Dr. Cook and such like are mere social excrescences and have as little to do with Hindu society and religion as moral or social evils have in any other part of the civilised world. If any of my friends can show me an authority for holding that the Hindu religion sanctions prostitution, I should feel it my duty as a man of education to say that that authority had erred. After the humble studies of more than 30 years, and the light which I have been able to derive from contact with the most eminent Sanscrit scholars in this country, if not in Europe, I am in a position to say that the Hindu religion never threw its cloak on such practices. (Hear.) Then we have been referred among other things—I will not touch upon professional evidence, but will leave it unanswered as far as it goes—to what has been said in Parliament by responsible members on both sides. I have shown you that even such an eminent personage as the President of the Royal College of Physicians is not sure as to the effect of these examinations. All I have to do, as a man of common sense, on the evidence before me, is to ask myself what ought we to do with the money of the Corporation. Here is an analysis of the evidence of Dr. Drysdale taken before the Contagious Diseases Commission, Dr. Drysdale being examined, stated that he thinks the recognition of prostitutes as a particular class, licensed to exercise an immoral profession has altogether lowered the tone of morality in France, and he believes the periodical examination which the Committee had already decided against; it also recommended that other legislation should be passed in fact, that the whole of this legislation should be, as might be rendered, available by the civil districts throughout the country, as well as the naval and military stations. He would say a few words as to the result. The commissioners were called to report, not only on the physical results, but also on what appeared to them to be the moral effects of the Acts. On both points there had been, and still was, considerable difference of opinion. Not denying that there did exist grave objections to the Acts, some occasional incentives to immorality, and that the system of examination might have a hardening influence on women, he might say, that the conclusion he arrived at was that the effect in preventing women from entering into a life of prostitution was so great that the moral good prevailed over the moral evil. When he stated that, as his own opinion, he must admit that many persons, for whose opinion he had the greatest possible respect, entertained a different opinion." I will not trespass more on your time with quotations on this point. I think this is the line my friend, Dr. Pinkerton, pointedly followed to-day. No doubt he differs from those who like myself hold that the Act will have an immoral tendency, but we can each hold our own views, and it is for the Corporation to say what views they will carry out. Now with reference to Dr. Cook's statement as to the Hindu religion sanctioning prostitution. I say that Dr. Cook can know but a very fractional part, if anything at all, of the Hindu nation. I am surprised that he, even on the authority of an eminent judge of our local High Court, should have made the statement which he put forth on this matter at our last meeting. Every city in the world has its evils, and there are sores which no amount of—lecturing, I was going to say—attempts at reformation have hitherto blotted out. If we have infanticide in India, we know that, unhappily, from different causes there is infanticide on the other side of the globe. We have things like baby farming here and we have worse things which are also found in other parts of the world. I merely make use of these facts to illustrate a point of argument which was so forcibly placed before you by my friend Mr. Mehta, who, I am sure, gave me more than my due when he said what he did. The particular subject alluded to by Dr. Cook and such like are mere social excrescences and have as little to do with Hindu society and religion as moral or social evils have in any other part of the civilised world. If any of my friends can show me an authority for holding that the Hindu religion sanctions prostitution, I should feel it my duty as a man of education to say that that authority had erred. After the humble studies of more than 30 years, and the light which I have been able to derive from contact with the most eminent Sanscrit scholars in this country, if not in Europe, I am in a position to say that the Hindu religion never threw its cloak on such practices. (Hear.) Then we have been referred among other things—I will not touch upon professional evidence, but will leave it unanswered as far as it goes—to what has been said in Parliament by responsible members on both sides. I have shown you that even such an eminent personage as the President of the Royal College of Physicians is not sure as to the effect of these examinations. All I have to do, as a man of common sense, on the evidence before me, is to ask myself what ought we to do with the money of the Corporation. Here is an analysis of the evidence of Dr. Drysdale taken before the Contagious Diseases Commission, Dr. Drysdale being examined, stated that he thinks the recognition of prostitutes as a particular class, licensed to exercise an immoral profession has altogether lowered the tone of morality in France, and he believes the periodical examination to have ruined the sense of shame among the Parisian women. As regards the "improvement" of the women in the Lock Hospitals our Health Officer, in his remarks which appeared in the Municipal Commissioners's Report for 1876, says:—"The aversion of prostitutes to Lock Hospitals is very great and deep-rooted. This aversion is equally general and strong, whether we look to the East or to the West. Its causes deserve careful consideration, although a few may put under detention in hospital for diseases which they consider trivial; the advantage of an hospital in the way of medical relief, and as an asylum, are too numerous, not to be recognized by most women. Then, to what is to be ascribed this dislike, to what if not all other places there ought to be no dislike—hospitals? I believe the causes of this aversion are to be sought for in the management and discipline of the hospital rather than in the inconvenience of forcible medical relief. No class distinction are recognized in the Lock Hospital, the women are too often humiliated in little ways, and not unfrequently they are worried by the attempts of pious persons, enthusiastic to effect their conversion or reformation, If they are European women, on every side they turn to they see cards reminding them of their hateful occupation, of punishment in this world, and of destruction in the next. Is it unnatural they should dread entering asylums in which such fearful remembrances are awakened?" So much for the reformatory part of the Contagious Diseases Act administration. Now it was stated by Mr. Dosabhoy on the authority of the *Lancet* that a great deal of good had been done in few towns, or rather ports and camps he might have said, where this Act has been introduced. The Chairman then passed on to refer to the state of things in respect of contagious decease in a city which was understood to be the centre of civilisation—Paris. In regard to Paris, he said M. Le Cour, the principal officer who works the Act there, says he is at last compelled to refer to what I am now going to draw your attention to. I am about to quote from the well known *Westminster Review* for 1876, and this small extract gives the pith of the whole subject. It is as follows:—"M De Cour says: We must cherish the hope that the rising generation will be better protected by religious education, by the law, by instruction, and by domestic solicitude and authority, from the seductions which present themselves everywhere—in the street, in the workshops, in the drawing-room, in books, and at the theatre, and which still intensify their manifestations in every form of that luxury of show, and tinsel, which characterises our age, and which the mourning over our national trials has not made us abandon." In the remark which follows an administration of many years, I simply ask you in this year 1880 to pause before you take this leap in the dark, and make such a change in regard to the civil administration of this great dependency of the British Crown. You have the opinion of the Sanitary Officer to the Government of India in regard to camp lock hospitals. There women are registered, and yet Dr. Cunningham says that though he has not yet been able to arrive at the true cause, they had failed, but I have nothing to say against the Government working the Act in their camps in such segregated places where the general population has no access to such institutions, and where they do not become part and parcel of the social system of civil society around us. After referring briefly to the physical aspect of the matter, the Rao Saheb proceeded:—The ground upon which I base my opposition to the Act is as regards the civil population. It is a most unusual proceeding, I will not use any stronger term. I do not complain of regulations being made in camps, but as regards the general public we have a right to be heard on the matter, and I do say it is an innovation of a very—to use the mildest term—injurious character, and the influence of which on the rising generation can hardly be calculated at this distance. If a philosopher like Mill can say that there is a degradation below that of prostitution in Europe, I say, on behalf of my countrymen here, that such exhibitions as we read of in regard to the cities of Europe are practically unknown, and by making these institutions part and parcel of our working political and social system we shall be taking the first step towards introducing some of the worst of these evils. It has been said that the agitation against this Act died out four years ago, and then there has been no debates in Parliament on the subject; but I may point out that in 1879 a Committee of the House of Commons was proposed in relation to the working of the Act. I may also point out that so far from the agitation, if you like so to call it, having died out, the movement has spread over the whole of Europe. A medical gentleman, Dr. De Cunha, sent me yesterday a paper published at Rome, the *Medical Review*, dated 4th July, 1880, which contains an article on the C. D. Act and gives the information that the second conference, concerning the evil under discussion, will be held at Genoa. Perhaps this will show my friends who do not agree with me that, instead of the movement being limited to the United Kingdom, it is fast spreading on the Continent. This is also conclusively shown by the statements made by Professor Amos, Professor of Jurisprudence in the University of London, and who is not likely to be at fault in such a matter. That being so, it is idle to say that European sentiment on the subject has changed. The details which Mr. Geary gave have really not been answered, and on such an uncertain subject, with regard to which half the profession seem to be on one side and half on the other, we are asked to waste money. I believe it to be merely a professional experiment, and speaking for myself I believe it to be eminently hurtful to the general community. (Hear.) If women with the disease have to be helped, by all means give them assistance, but we can see from the reports of our own Health Officer whether without a stretch, a considerable stretch of official authority, and we know what that means, certainly, in this country, it is or is not an invasion of the liberty of the subject to work this Act the results of which are certainly doubtful and which are in no case absolutely certain. I see in the *Bombay Gazette* to-day the opinions of several medical authorities given in Mr. Herbert Spencer's "Study of Social Science," and those authorities show that the dangers of this disease have been fearfully exaggerated. After a few further remarks upon Mr. Spencer's work, the Chairman said:—Unless you are sure that a lock hospital will do incalculable good and no harm, such as the fever hospital advocated by my friend Dr. Blaney, I think you ought to pause before you vote away the ratepayers' money in this manner to help those who suffered in consequence of their vice. Why should the poor of Bombay be mulcted in order that somebody's vice may be stimulated? The Act does not seek the reformation of these degraded creatures; if it did I would say let it be worked if the community wish it. But these women are to be examined and certified as fit for business, and the keeping of such women is made another business by the baptheel-keepers. With all my deference to the promoters of the Act I shall not be a party to voting a single pie towards the working of an enactment which is founded on such radically wrong principles. (Hear, hear.)

The Chairman then put the following amendment, proposed by Mr. Dosabhoy Framjee and seconded by Dr. Cook:—"That from the 1st September next the Corporation sanction a yearly grant of Rs. 15,000 towards the expenses of the lock hospital which is about to be established in the city by Government under Act XIV. of 1868." Seventeen voted for the amendment and 33 against. The resolution, proposed by the Chairman and seconded by Mr. Grattan Geary, was thereupon carried by 31 votes to 8. It was as follows:—That the Corporation of Bombay decline to contribute towards the cost of the working of the C. D. Act in Bombay, but when the finances of the Municipality will admit they will be prepared to contribute Rs. 15,000 for hospital accommodation for the relief of disease, in addition to the sums now granted for the Goculdas Tejpal and police hospitals."

For the Amendment.	*Against the Amendment.*
Khan Bahadoor Byramjee Dadabhoy	Rao Saheb V N Mandlik.
Dr Coor.	Dr Atmaram Pandurung.
Mr E Carroll.	Mr Jam-s Bapty.
Dr Cowasjee Hormusjee.	Mr Bhugwandas Purshotamdas.
Mr W T Crocke.	Dr T Blaney.
Mr Dosabhoy Framjee, C S I.	Mr Byramjee N Sirtai.
Mr Cowasjee Dinshaw.	Mr Dinanath R Khote.
Mr Fazulbhoy C Gangjee.	C-l Poscooby Cox.
Mr James Greaves.	Mr E Freeborn.
Mr H E Jacomb.	Mr Grattan Geary.
Mr W Maidment.	Mr Goculdas Jagmohundas.
Capt Morland.	Mr D E Gostling.
Mr Nanabhoy Byramjee.	Mr Heerjee Ardeshir Dady.
Dr J Pinkerton.	Mr Homejee Cursetjee Dady.
Mr D Quadros.	Mr Hormusjee Dadabhoy.
Mr Vensykrao R Luxumonjee	Mr Hormusjee N Saklatwalla.
Mr F Vouillon.	Mr Hurkisondas Narotamdas.
	Mr Jamsetjee D Framjee.
	Mr Jonardhan Gopal.
	Mr Khanderao C Bedarker.
	Mr Cursetjee K Rana.
	Hon'ble Mornjee Goculdas
	Mr Manchenjee N Banajee.
	Mr Nowrojee Byramjee Shuttook.
	Mr Nowrojee Furdoonjee.
	Mr Nanabhoy Chandabhoy.
	Professor F Peterson.
	Mr Phirozshaw M Mehta.
	Mr Raghunath N Khote.
	Mr Rahimtoola M Sayani.
	Mr Shantaram Narayen.
	Mr Tribhowandas Varjeevundas.
	Mr Vandravandas Parshotamdas.

THE PRESS ON THE C. D. QUESTION.

THE *Native Opinion*—We heartily congratulate the Bombay Municipal Corporation on the happy and thoroughly impartial result they have arrived at in their deliberations anent the propriety of granting a sum of money towards the working of the above Act. They have shown that they can stand by what is right and would not be driven into submission either by threats or official blandishments.

THE *Bombay Review*—After several days' careful consideration and discussion, the Municipal Corporation, by an overwhelming majority, decided on Friday last to decline to have any participation in the working of the C. D. Act. The Government has been ill-advised enough to think of forcing the obnoxious and mischievous measure on this city, in spite of the strong public feeling against the same. But the Municipal Corporation, fully alive to the dubious character of the C. D. Acts, their known inefficacy for the purpose intended and tendency to propagate rather than check loathsome diseases when in force in large and populous cities, and to the fact of their being an encouragement to vice and causing unnecessary hardship and unmerited disgrace to poor, helpless and innocent people and injury to society in various ways, has, after due and dispassionate consideration, come to the conclusion that it could not support the action of the Government.

THE *Bombay Guardian*.—There has been a prodigious amount of debate in the Bombay Corporation with regard to the C. D. Act which the Government are so intent on introducing. It is quite evident that there is a very strong feeling against its introduction, and that many, both among the European members and the Native, look upon it as immoral and demoralizing. We are quite ready to admit that among the Advocates of the Act are some who believe that the act is not likely to have any of the evil results that are attributed to it, Dr. Cook, in his defence of the bill, passed in review—at all events notices—the different objections urged by its opponents which he says are internecine, mutually nullifying. It may seem so, at the first glance, but perhaps there is more consistency in them than at first appears. It is urged that the act is ineffective. By this is meant that it does not succeed in banishing the disease from the community. It is no answer to this to bring evidence that persons brought under the cognizance of those who administer the act are freed from the disease. Licentiousness, when such attempts are made to regulate it, knows how to find other less obtrusive but not less dangerous paths for itself. In Paris forty years ago, and we presume the thing is true to day, there were to be found in all the more frequented streets and arcades, shops tended by young women where the actual buying and selling constituted only the veil of the real transactions done. These shops were perfectly well-known to those who took interest in such matters, but were beyond the reach of the Government doctors. The disease prevailed to a frightful extent. The successive enumerations of the populations of France have made the world acquainted with the fact that while in the other states of Europe there is increase, there is an actual diminution of the population proper of France, and this has been attributed to the prevailing licentiousness. It is evidently very little to the purpose that statistics should be tendered showing a diminution of the disease in that particular class that comes under the treatment provided by these acts. What is hindered by the Act makes its appearance elsewhere *Naturam expellas furca, tamen usque recurrit*. Drive nature out by the door and she will come in by the window. One of the speakers in defence of the measure called attention to the innocent victims of the disease, the children that brought into life with them inherited tendencies that made them fall an early prey to disease. Of course every philanthropist would be ready to welcome measures that really would arrest this premature mortality. But we have little faith in any measures that do not begin farther back, and seek to shield the young from those influences that stimulate sensuality. If Government would take in hand the licentious literature of the day, the multitudes of novels and tales that act perniciously upon the imagination and upon the sensual appetite they would be approaching more nearly to the mark.

WEATHER REPORTS.—AUG. 15.

CARWAR, 5 p.m.—Weather cloudy; wind N. W. 4; thermometer 79·5; barometer 29·900. Fall of rain 2 cents.

MANGALORE, 4 p.m.—Weather cloudy; wind N. W.; thermometer 76·5, 78; barometer 30, 29·914. Fall of rain 18 cents.

VINGORLA, 5 p.m.—Weather clear; wind W. light; thermometer 81. Fall of rain 2 cents.

RAINFALL IN BOMBAY.

	Colaba.		Fort.		Esplanade.		Byculla.	
	In.	Cts.	In.	Cts.	In.	Cts.	In.	Cts.
Previously registered...	42	3	45	54	38	55	42	31
Aug. 13 Friday	0	6	....		....		....	
14 Saturday	0	8	0	10	0	5	0	3
15 Friday	....		0	0	0	0	0	0
Total.....	42	17	45	64	38	60	42	34
Total Fall, Aug. 15, 1879..	44	82	54	5	*		56	87

NOTE.—The returns from the Fort and Byculla are made up to 6 p. m. and Esplanade up to 6 a. m. each day. The return from Colaba is up to midnight.

* No rainfall registered last year; registration commenced this year from June 23.

RAINFALL ON THE GUZERAT LINE.

WE have been favoured with the following records of rainfall on the districts intersected by the B. B. and C. I. Railway, by Mr. Mathew:—

DIVISION No. 1.

Date.	Panju.		Vaitarna.		Palghur.		Gholwad.		Bulsar.		Surat.	
	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.
Previous... Aug.	46	24	53	49	56	17	36	81	50	11	21	15
8	0	34	1	0	0	49	0	21	0	81	0	11
9	1	0	1	6	0	40	0	16	1	0	1	16
10	0	15	0	60	0	37	0	24	1	59	0	23
11	0	11	0	20	0	40	0	4	0	5	1	7
Total.	47	84	56	35	57	83	37	46	53	47	23	72

DIVISION No. 2.

Date	Pali.		Baroda.		Mehmudabad.		Ahmedabad.		Viramgam.		Kharagora.		Wadhwan.	
	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.	Ins.	Cts.
Previous-Aug.	20	24	20	13	33	65	18	40	13	55	10	28	22	28
7	0	33	0	31	0	26	0	60	0	30	0	30	0	11
8	0	57	0	93	0	57	0	0	0	36	0	18	0	2
9	0	60	0	12	0	76	0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	62	0	80	0	4	0	12	0	5	0	0	0	0
Total	22	36	22	29	35	28	19	22	14	26	10	74	22	41

NANDGAON, Aug. 9.—We are still complaining of scarcity of rain. The wells are drying up.

BHUSAWAL, Aug. 9.—Very little rain has fallen compared to that of last year. Cloudy weather.

AURANGABAD, DECCAN, Aug. 10.—Occasional showers have fallen here but none for the past four days. The weather indicates rain. The fall of rain to date is 14·28 inches.

JALNA, Aug. 9.—We are having occasional showers of rain, but insufficient.

VIZAPUR, BHIR, PAITAN, AND AMBAD TALOOKS, Aug. 9.—Rainfall scanty.

MOMINABAD, Aug. 7.—The rainfall here is much below the average. The fall to date is 10·54 inches.

AHMEDNAGAR, Aug. 7.—The heat was great till the 4th evening, when there was a heavy shower of rain. On the 6th no rain. Prospects of crops are promising.

DHOND MANMAD RAILWAY, Aug. 10—The grass in some places is yellow. The rainfall is very scanty. More rain for the crops needed.

SECUNDERABAD, July 20.—Highest temperature 93° and lowest on 23rd ultimo 67°. From 1st to 23rd July 2·49 inches rain fell. More rain is very much wanted.

The following is the official report of rainfall to dates:—

	Inches.
Secunderabad.....23rd July,	11·96.
Bolarum .....24th "	13·14.
Hyderabad Residency .....23rd "	11·25.

SIMLA, August 10.—The barometer has again risen in Bengal, Assam, and Burmah, and a slight further rise has taken place in the lower part of the North-Western Provinces, Oudh, Rajputana, and the Central Provinces; while a slight fall has set in in the Punjab and at most stations in Madras. South-easterly winds have set in up the Gangetic valley, but to the south of the Ganges they are from south-west or west. Rain is reported from most stations in Bengal; very heavy in Tirhoot, where Mozufferpore has 8½ inches. Other cases of rain exceeding 1 inch are Julpigorie 2½ inches, Cuttack 2½ inches, Hazaribagh 1½ inches, and Darjeeling 1½ inches. In the North-Western Provinces there is no rainfall of importance; nor any in the Punjab. In Rajputana and Central India moderate rain continues. Bhurtpore reports 4 tenths, Jeypore 7-tenths, Ajmere 4 inch, Indore 1-tenth, Ruttam 4 tenths. In the Central Provinces, Khundwa has 1-tenth. This and the Berar stations have had but about one-fourth of the average fall to date. In Bombay no rain of importance, except Surat ¼ inch; and in Madras only Cochin and Calicut report slight rain.—HENRY F. BLANFORD, Meteorological Reporter to Govt. of India.

A BARRISTER'S QUARREL.

WE are sorry to hear that the Public Prosecutor has not been treating the learned counsel for the defence in this case with the courtesy due to his age and position at the Bar. It seems that Shewuck (the man who has turned Queen's evidence) went to Mr. Gill's quarters on Saturday morning, in company with his pleader, Mr. Kavasjee Dinshaw, with a view to consulting that gentleman about the offer of pardon that had been made to him (Shewuck) by Mr. Pinhey if "he should exchange his position in the dock for one in the witness-box." Mr. Gill heard all his client had to say, and informed him that he had full liberty to accept the offer, but that he must speak the whole truth and nothing but the truth when examined as a witness. While Shewuck was consulting his counsel, Mr. Pinhey suddenly made his appearance in a very excited state, and not only denounced Mr. Gill's conduct as highly improper, but actually accused him of tempering with a man whom he regarded as an important witness for the prosecution. Mr. Gill controlled his temper in a way that cannot be too highly commended. Although Mr. Pinhey's language, tone, and manner were highly objectionable and discourteous, Mr. Gill quietly requested him to leave the room; but Mr. Pinhey would not leave the room, and persisted in accusing Mr. Gill of unprofessional conduct and threatening to report him to Judge Parsons. It was only when Mr. Gill informed Mr. Pinhey that he would be compelled to have recourse to measures that would be neither pleasant nor agreeable that the latter thought of moving out of Mr. Gill's apartment. During this unseemly altercation we hear Messrs. Dyaram, Hussun Ali, and Kavasji were present. On the opening of the Court on Saturday the Public Prosecutor brought the subject to the notice of Judge Parsons, and requested an expression of opinion from the Court in regard to his learned friend's conduct, but the learned Judge very courteously (and in our opinion very properly) declined to give any opinion, remarking that Shewuck had had a perfect right to consult his counsel. We must say that we are truly sorry that the Public Prosecutor, for whom we have always entertained the utmost respect, should have so far forgotten himself on this occasion as to insult a brother barrister of Mr. Gill's standing. Mr. Pinhey's conduct was wholly inexcusable, and we feel confident it will be condemned by every right-thinking person.—*Scinde C. and M. Gazette.*

THE "BEND OR" OBJECTION.

OUR sporting contemporary, the *Asian*, has landed on "a mare's nest," and has probably created some uneasiness to the promoters of the Umballa and other lotteries and to their winners, by suggesting that should Bend Or be disqualified for the Derby, the lottery prizes may have to be re-distributed. This is very improbable. In the first place because it would, we imagine, be opposed to the rules of betting and, in the second, owing to the difficulty and improbability of getting the money refunded. Rule 2 of the "Rules of Betting" states that "if an objection be made to the qualification of a horse on the ground of incorrect pedigree, or nomination, *after the race is run*, the bets shall go to the horse that comes in first, provided that he is of the right age, and that in other respects he has not transgressed the rules of racing." This rule further states that if the race has been won by fraud, then "the bets will go with the stakes whether any objection be made either before or after the race."

Neither of the owners of the second horse, nor any of the public have for a moment attributed fraud to his Grace of Westminster, consequently whether Bend Or be disqualified or not, bets, and therefore lotteries, hold good. Messrs. Blanton and Brewer, the owners of Robert the Devil, say that owing to a mistake made when Bend Or was a yearling, and changing his quarters, the horse now known by the latter name is really an animal called Tadcaster, and that the horse now called Tadcaster is really Bend Or. The evidence they hold is that of a stud groom, recently discharged by the Duke, and that his story is credited is shown by the legal advice given, which resulted in a formal protest being lodged with Messrs. Wetherby, the stakeholders, against paying over the stakes to the Duke. When the difficulty first arose the owners of Robert the Devil asked the advice of the Jockey Club, but the stewards, after going into the matter, expressed their inability to offer any suggestions towards the settlement of the question. The matter will probably have to be shifted in a court of law, for though the Duke and Peck, his trainer, have given Messrs. Brewer and Blanton every assistance to ascertain the truth of the story, the noble master of the horse is apparently determined not to be done out of the honour of having won the Blue Riband of the Turf, without a struggle, or until it is clearly shown that a mistake was made.

The present objection to the Derby winner is by no means without precedent, but still our contemporaries, both here and at home, have referred only to one, viz., that of Running Rein, who was first past the post in 1844, but was subsequently disqualified, when it was proved that he was a four-year old, named Maccabeus. On certainly two previous occasions objections were lodged, but each time they fell to the ground. The first was against St. Giles, the winner of 1832, owned by a Mr. Ridsdale. On settling day an objection was lodged, and a placard hoisted in parts of London, headed "St. Giles not entitled to the Derby stakes!" The gist of the objection was that St. Giles had been entered as a "chestnut colt, St. Giles by Tramp out of Arcot Lass, *by Ardrossen, her dam Floyerkin,*" whereas it ought to have been by *Ardrossen, dam by Cramlington out of Floyerkin.* Legal proceedings were at first talked about, but the matter was finally referred to three sportsmen, the Duke of Richmond, Lord Stradbroke and Mr. Charles Greville, who gave a verdict in favour of Mr. Ridsdale, with £2,875 damages. The second occasion was after Bloomsbury's victory in 1839. Curiously enough the Derby winner was again owned by Mr. Ridsdale and the dam of Bloomsbury was a daughter of St. Giles. In this case the owner of Deception, the second in the race, objected on the ground that the pedigree of the horse, as stated in the Racing Calendar, and Stud Book differed, the former describing Bloomsbury as "by Malatto," the latter as "by Tramp or Malatto." The stewards after investigating the matter decided that the entry in the Calendar was correct, and that Bloomsbury was qualified for the Derby and his owner entitled to the stakes. Deception's owner was not, however, satisfied, and the case was eventually tried at Liverpool before a special jury when a verdict was returned in accordance with the decision of the Epsom stewards.

THE FAMINE COMMISSIONER REPORT.

(*Continued.*)

WE recommend that the body of village accountants should everywhere be put on a sound and satisfactory footing as responsible public officers, with a clearly defined set of duties, but with due consideration to the importance of their permanent connexion with their own villages; and that where, as in parts of Bengal and Sindh, the class has ceased to exist through long desuetude it should be resuscitated. Officials of this class should be appointed for all villages, whether the estates are free from assessment or pay a quit-rent, or pay the land revenue in full; and the same annual returns should be prepared for all kinds of estates, whether under the permanent or temporary settlement. The field survey, which supplies the basis of all agricultural statistics, should be pushed on in the provinces where it is now in progress, and should be set on foot in Bengal, where hitherto it has not been introduced. In that province the expenditure, or the major part of it, should be borne by the landholders, who alone derive advantage from the increasing value of the land, and who cannot without such a survey properly perform the duties imposed on them by their position.

Over the village accountants there should be a staff of active subordinate officers, employed in keeping them to their duty, inspecting their work, visiting each village in turn, and checking the accuracy of all the items recorded concerning it.

Above these there should be a special officer in every district, who would be, as a rule, of the rank of a deputy collector, and whose main or only duty should be to take charge of all matters connected with the economic condition and well-being of the people. He would test and compile the agricultural returns and examine the market prices, and ascertain from these and other data the relative value of each year's crop, according as it is below or above the average. The extent of the food stocks, the ebb and flow of local trade, the current rates of interest charged on loans to different classes, the deficient or superabundant supply of any kinds of labour, and the customary wages paid to each kind—these and other kindered topics, on which information is at present far from precise, would fall within the scope of his inquiries. These officers, while generally subordinate to the collector or chief officer of the district in which they are placed, would be especially under the orders of the Agricultural Department in respect to the system on which their returns are to be prepared and checked.

A Director of Agriculture should be appointed in each province as executive head of this department, chosen for his knowledge of the condition of the people, and particularly of the agricultural classes. He would directly control the special statistical officers, and would be the adviser of the local Government on all matters relating to agriculture and statistics.

The employment offered by the State can hardly be other than some form of simple labour, such as is required on the ordinary public works carried on under the officers of the Public Works Department. The works selected should be of permanent utility and capable of employing a considerable number of persons (a large proportion of whom would be unskilled labourers) for a considerable period of time. Their position must be regulated by various circumstances, to all of which proper weight should be given, such as convenience of access to the distressed population, facilities for the provision of food and for supervision, and healthiness of situation. The selection of these works should be the duty of the Public Works Department in each presidency or province, acting under the orders of the local Government, and that Department should be held responsible for keeping a list of such works ready to be put in hand without delay when any such emergency occurs. If possible no such work should be opened in each subdivision of a district in which severe distress prevails. It is not necessary, and may often be undesirable, to offer to every one work close to his door, but on the other hand it is unreasonable to expect people to travel great distances in order to obtain relief, or to make such a journey a condition precedent of their being received on relief works; and we think that such a test should not be applied.

The immediate direction of these works should be entrusted to the officers of the Public Works Department, whose special training best qualifies them for such a duty, and who would be responsible for enforcing discipline and directing the labour.

The collector of the district should exercise general supervision over all works, as over all other arrangements for giving relief within his district, and should be responsible to Government for their efficiency.

It has been proved by experience that when there is little to do in the fields, large numbers of people are apt to flock to get employment on Government works, although there is not any such general need as to justify the offer of work to all comers. This is particularly the case if the task is light and discipline slack, and too easy terms may even have the effect of drawing labour from its legitimate sphere, and discouraging the continuance or resumption of ordinary agricultural occupations. It is therefore necessary that proper discipline should be maintained; and that, though all applicant should be received, certain self-acting tests of wage and labour should be enforced to prevent the relief work from being so light or unduly attractive as to induce any to remain who are not really in need. The wage should be raised from time to time so as to provide sufficient food for the labourer's support, allowing him one day's rest in the week; and separate rates should be prescribed suitable for the various classes of persons as well as for different ages and sexes. In apportioning the task, the fact that a large proportion of the labourers are doing unaccustomed work, that many may be physically and morally depressed, and that the disruption of their ordinary life, and the novelty of their position on relief-works probably act injuriously on their powers, should be borne in mind. The full task demanded from such persons should not be more than 75 per cent. of that commonly performed by labourers in ordinary times, and from the less capable labourers a still smaller task should be required.

The contract system in its ordinary form, under which the labourers become the servants of the contractor, on whom all responsibilities for their payment rest, should be prohibited on relief works, as it is incompatible with that direct supervision and control on the part of the supervising officer, and that free communication between him and the labourers, which are essential to secure the effectiveness and economy of the relief. The method of payment by the piece may, however, be introduced with advantage, provided that it is optional with the labourers to choose between it and the daily wage.

The difference in the two systems of gratuitous relief may, no doubt, be traced to the different circumstances under which they were adopted. The more stringent system, requiring residence in a poorhouse, was a somewhat natural development of the efforts of private charity with limited means at its command; and the village system was an equally natural outcome of the view which regarded the relief in 1873-74, that the was requisite for the State not only to supply employment and means of subsistence to the whole population, but to import and distribute the food itself. The poor-house system has generally been followed since 1861 in the North Western Provinces, and has been highly recommended by many authorities for adoption elsewhere. It was believed that the objection felt to residence in a poor-house might keep away those not really in want, but would not deter any who suffered from real distress. There is, however, a great accumulation of evidence to the effect that the feeling of the people towards relief administered in this form is in most parts of India one of extreme repulsion; and that even in the North-Western Provinces in 1877-78 that repulsion was strong enough to cause many to lose their lives rather than to accept help on these terms. It was in Bengal in 1873-74 that the system of village relief first became prominent, and that indications were seen that it was preferable to the poor-house system, notwithstanding certain difficulties which attended it. Under any such system the difficulty of discriminating the worthy from the unworthy will be great; the village officials, where such persons exist, can seldom be thoroughly trusted to select the proper persons for the receipt of State help, and in the absence of some really trustworthy residents in the villages who can be employed by Government as agents in relief-distribution, there is great risk that the money or grain will not reach those for whom it is intended. Both systems might no doubt be better administered than they have ever yet been. If it is probable on the one hand that ameliorations of the poor-house system could be effected, under which its stringency would be less likely to repel real sufferers, on the other it is clear that much of the heavy cost which attended the operations in Bengal in 1873-74 is in no degree a necessary element of the village system. On the whole there is no doubt left in our minds that the village system should, in the present condition of India, be preferred for general adoption, inasmuch as, while this system may involve the risk of a too free grant of relif, the poor-house system involves the more serious risk of insufficient relief.

If gratuitous relief is never given to those who are able to do a reasonable amount of labour, but only to the children, infirm, and old, to cripples and house ridden people, and to those necessarily required to attend to them; and if there is an efficient system of village inspection to see that the persons on the relief list are, as far as outward signs go, deserving of it, and that they do receive it; the result will be that relief will reach the majority in the most effective way, though there may be a certain small number of people who get it improperly. With these two safeguards, the danger of the misuse of the State funds will be minimized, and the danger of imposing a test so repugnant to the people as to prevent their accepting relief will be avoided. But there will still be room for relief-houses, the proper sphere of which will be to receive such persons as have separated themselves from their homes and villages,—aimless wanderers, habitual beggars, or debilitated people who have fallen out of the ranks of the labourers and require to be fed up or receive medical attendance in order to regain strength and return to work.

(*To be continued.*)

BENGAL.

RAINFALL.—The total fall of rain registered at the Alipore Observatory from the 1st January last to the 7th instant was 43·08 inches, the average fall of the corresponding period for 24 years having been 37·72 inches.

BITING A WOMAN'S NOSE OFF.—A *dhobie* residing in Dhobaparra Street, Calcutta, named